

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,733	03/31/2004	Van Hoa Lee	AUS920040057US1	7203	
35525 IBM CORP (Y	7590 06/06/2007 A)	EXAMINER			
C/O YEE & AS	SSOCIATES PC	CAMPOS, YAIMA			
P.O. BOX 8023 DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TA			2185		
	· .		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			06/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/814,733	LEE, VAN HOA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Yaima Campos	2185		

	Yaima Campos	2185						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
	THE REPLY FILED 23 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comparting the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a								
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		00.00						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the								
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable it subtritted in a separate,	timely med amending	sin canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ned.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding Applicant's remark that the combination of Arndt and Stine does not disclose "wherein maintaining the mapping table further comprises maintaining the mapping table in a physical address space allocated to one of the first and second physical address ranges, and wherein the physical address space is unavailable to an operating system accessing the first and second physical address ranged;" the Examiner disagrees and respectfully submits that Arndt discloses these limitations.

(

Arndt discloses "wherein maintaining the mapping table further comprises maintaining the mapping table in a physical address space allocated to one of the first and second physical address ranges," as ["address translation system 300 includes multiple operating systems (OSs) 302-308, a hypervisor 310, an allocation table 380, a page frame table per OS image 320-350, and physical resources 360" (Col. 5, lines 39-43) wherein "page frame tables 320-350 each contain a table of translations of OS virtual addresses to actual physical addresses to physical resources 360" (Col. 5, line 59-Col. 6, line 3) (Figure 3 and related text). Applicant should note that since each OS image/logical partition has a separate page frame table (for virtual to physical address translation; which occupies a portion of physical memory) and physical resources assigned to it; the combination of the physical memory assigned to this page frame table and the physical memory assigned to physical resources comprises the total physical address space allocated to each of the different address ranges/logical partitions/OS images; therefore, maintaining a mapping table in a physical address space allocated to each physical address range and disclosing "maintaining the mapping table further comprises maintaining the mapping table in a physical address space allocated to one of the first and second physical address ranges" as claimed]

and "wherein the physical address space is unavailable to an operating system accessing the first and second physical address ranged" as [Arndt discloses this limitation as "If the requested resource has been allocated to the requesting OS 302-308, then hypervisor 310 modifies page frame table 320, if necessary, such that the OS's 302-308 virtual address is mapped to the corresponding physical address of the requested resource. The various OSs 302-308 are prevented from modifying page frame tables 320, thus further ensuring that the logical partitions within the data processing system are maintained" (Col. 6, lines 35-42) and explains "the single hardware pointer to the virtual address translation page frame table in each of processors 232-238 is made unmodifiable by any of the OSs 202-208" (Col. 5, lines 13-15) wherein "the present invention removes the virtual address translation hardware 280 from the direct control of the OSs 202-208. Instead, hypervisor 210 controls platform's 200 virtual address translation hardware 280" (Col. 4, lines 64-67); therefore, disclosing that the physical address space assigned to page frame tables/mapping tables is made unavailable to an operating system accessing the different partitions or physical address ranges as claimed and disclosed in Applicant's Specification (Pages 8-9)].

Therefore, it is the Examiner's position that for at least the reasons recited above, the request for reconsideration filed on May 23,

2007 fails to patentably distinguish the instant application from the cited prior art of record.

SANJIV SHAH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

2